OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES (OES) LAW ENFORCEMENT AND VICTIM SERVICES (LEVS) DIVISION CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM PROGRAM – PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT

Public Safet	ty Branch X	Drug Enforcement Section X					
30000							
GR	ANT NUMBER	GRANT AWARD PERIOD	GRANT AWARD AMOUNT				
N	ИН08030400	07/01/08 — 06/30/10	\$467,666				
PROGRAM		San Luis Obispo County Sheriffs	s Office				
PROJECT T	ITLE:	Cal MMET Program					
(1) ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY: County of San Luis Obispo							
(2) IMPLEI	MENTING AGENCY:	Sheriffs Office					
(3) PROJE	ECT DIRECTOR:						
	Address:	P.O. Box 32					
		San Luis Obispo, CA 93406					
	Phone:	805-781-4540					
(4) DDO IE	CT COORDINATOR:						
, ,	ate Contact (Designee):						
Alterna	ate Contact (Designee).						
Date of Visi	t: 9-29-09	Visit Conducted By:					
	PERSON(S) INTERVIE	WED/CONTACTED DURING TH	E VISITATION				
Date	Name	Title within Agency	Job Title (Project)				
9-29	TO THE PARTY OF TH	Chief Deputy	Project Director				
9-29		Sheriffs Financial Off.	Financial Officer				
9-29		Commander					
9-29		Senior Deputy	Day to Day Contact				
Signature o	f OES Representative C	onducting the Visit	Date				
Signature o	f Section Chief		Date				

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM PROGRAM – PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT

١.

	PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW							
		- 11			YES	NO	N/A	
ě	A.	Ge	nera					
		1.		es the project being visited fit within one of the owing categories? (check only one) [•]	X			
			2 nd Year; X 3 rd Year; Over three years, (Please specify) years.					
		2.	Ор	erational Documentation				
			Do	es the project have current versions of the following:				
		0	a. b. c.	Recipient Handbook Program Guidelines Grant Award Agreement	X		X	
		3.	$(R\epsilon$	rals, Objectives, and Project Activities eview the project's responses to the goals, objectives, and Agreement.)	and activit	ies of the	Grant	
			a.	Has there been any <u>significant changes</u> in the way the project implements or sustains the objectives and activities of this program? If yes, has the project discussed the possibility of submitting a grant award modification?	X			
			b.	Is the project making satisfactory progress toward achieving the goals and objectives? If not, please explain.	X			
		4.		ogress Reporting eview the progress report format, content and submiss	ion require	ements.)		
			a.	Has the project submitted all required reports on time? If not, please explain.		X		
			b.	Has the project kept accurate source documentation to support statistical data on the progress report?	X			

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM PROGRAM – PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT

ı.	. PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW (continued)						
					YES	NO	N/A
	,	5.	(Rei	grammatic Source Documentation view documents maintained by the project that repre- gress reports.)	sents data	reported (on
			a.	Has the project developed an information retrieval system that provides accurate data? (This system may be automated or manual.)	X		
			b.	Does the project use data summary sheets, actual case records, or other concrete documents that validate project performance?	X		
		6.	(Ass	ject Staff Duties & Responsibilities sure that project staff have made other project staff a visitation.)	vailable fo	r interview	s during
			a.	Have all grant funded project staff positions been filled? If no, please explain.	X		
			b.	Are job descriptions "project specific", rather than a copy of the "county" local agency job classification/position description?		X	
			c.	Do project staff meet all special skill certifications required?	X		
			d.	Are staff performing duties discussed in the Grant Award Agreement?	X		
			e.	Have project staff assumed duties for more than one OES-funded project? If yes, please explain.		X	
			f.	Are there any programmatic problems that are unique to this project? If yes, please explain.		X	
	N.	7.	Pro	gram Specific Requirements			9
			a.	Are Project Income Reporting forms completed and mailed to OES on a quarterly basis? (Only required if asset forfeiture funds are received and/or expended.)	X		
			b.	Is a copy of the signed DEC Protocol MOU in file?	X		

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM PROGRAM – PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT

l.	PRO	OGR/	MMA	ATIC REVIEW (continued)			61	
				8	YES	NO	N/A	
			c.	Are there outstanding issues related to carrying out the DEC Protocol requirements? If yes, pleasexplain.	X se			
			d.	Is a copy of the Operational Agreement in file?	X			
			e.	Is the Operational Agreement dated and signed by all participating agencies? If no, please explain.	by X			
II.	ADI	MINIS	TRA	TIVE REVIEW				
	A.	Ger	eral					
		1.	Pro	gram Files				
18			a.	Is the project familiar with preparation requiremen for the following frequently used OES forms:	ts			
				 (1) OES Form 223, Grant Award Modification (2) OES Form 201, Report of Expenditures and Request for Funds 	X			
		2.	Per	sonnel Policies				
			a.	Are written personnel policies in place and availabt to all employees?	ole X			
			b.	Do the policies discuss work hours, compensation rates, including overtime, and benefits; vacation, sick, or other leave allowances, hiring and promotional policies?	n X			
	В.	Fina	ancia	I Requirements				
		1.	Fur	ctional Time Sheets				
			a.	Does the project use a Functional Time Sheet for all project positions employed less-than fulltime?	X			
			h	Are functional time sheets completed correctly?	X			

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM PROGRAM – PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT

II.	ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW (continued)								
					YES	NO	N/A		
			c.	Is the percent (%) of time project staff spend on other non-project duties being tracked? (If no, provide a discussion concerning any recommendations made to the project.)	X				
		2.	Dut	ties of the Financial Officer					
			a.	Has the project taken steps to assure that the duties of the financial officer are separate from that of the bookkeeper and project director? (separation of duties)	X				
			b.	Do the financial officer and project director interact successfully on project expenditure decisions?	X				
	C.	Fina	ancia	al Source Documentation					
		1.		es the project maintain updated budget pages on approved grant award modifications?	X				
		2.	If s	es the project maintain Confidential funds? o, are protective safeguards and policies in place? scribe:	X				
		3.	100 TO 100 TO 100	roject income is acquired, is it tracked and reported? o, please explain.			X		
,		4.		s the project submitted Reports of Expenditures time?	X				
		5.		there other issues concerning project expenditures reporting? If so, please explain.	X				
	D.	Equ	ipm	ent					
		1.	Acc	quisition					
			a.	Are equipment purchases authorized budget items?		X			
			b.	Was equipment purchased in accordance with the Grant Award Agreement?			X		

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM PROGRAM – PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT

II.	ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW (continued)							
					YES	NO	N/A	
	E.	Stat	e/Fe	deral Administrative Requirements				
		Mandated State and Federal Programs (Determine whether or not the following documents are posted at the site visited)						
			a.	A current Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Policy Statement?	X			
			b. '	A current "Harassment or Discrimination in Employment is Prohibited by Law" poster?	X			
			c.	A current Drug-Free Workplace Policy statement?	X			
			d.	Documentation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) on file?		X		
III.		ovide		ATIC, ADMINISTRATIVE, AND FINANCIAL DISCUmmary of observations, findings, and recomme		made duri	ng the	

Thank you for your time and cooperation during my site visit of your Cal MMET Program on September 29, 2009. I commend you for being so well organized and meticulous with the presentation of program materials. Overall, the project meets or exceeds most grant requirements; however, the following findings include actions required in order to bring the project into full grant compliance.

A. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

Finding: Unable to locate any documentation on CEQA Policy.

<u>Corrective Action</u>: Please review Section 2153 of the California Emergency Management Agency (Cal EMA) Recipient Handbook. As discussed, this document is most likely available in your Environmental Services Office – please verify.

B. <u>Project Specific Job Descriptions</u>

Finding: Not available at time of visit.

<u>Corrective Action</u>: Please prepare project specific duty statements for the personnel covered under this grant. Forward a copy to me and place a copy in employees file. I have attached a copy of a sample duty statement to assist you.

C. Progress Reporting

Finding: Progress reports are consistently submitted late.

<u>Corrective Action</u>: Chief Deputy Giese assured me that with the staff now assigned to the project progress reports will be submitted on a timely basis from here on out. I explained the importance of progress reports and also explained the requirements for progress reports if the project accepts stimulus funding.

Copies of assignment logs that are used for source documentation were retrieved from project and are in file for review. I have also filed a copy of the functional time sheets.

I understand that there is a significant problem in getting the DA's Office to file DEC cases – please let me know if I can assist you in this endeavor.